

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/024,824		HAMMADOU, TARIK		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Aung S. Moe		2612		

All Participants:

(1) Aung S. Moe.

(2) Daniel Nichols (reg. no. 29,420).

Date of Interview: 8 April 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

11

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner's amendment to claim 11 has been discussed with Mr. Nichols, and the changes to claim 11 has been approved by Mr. Nicholas (see attached for the changes).

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


AUNG MOE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)